

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fadnt and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1950 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/663,341	09/15/2003	Jesper Theil Hansen	M61.12-0529	1618		
27366	7590 05/25/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	N CHAMPLIN (MICRO	KIM, I	KIM, PAUL			
SUITE 1400 900 SECON	D AVENUE SOUTH	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319			2161	2161		
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/25/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/663,3	41	HANSEN ET AL.				
		Examine	•	Art Unit				
		Paul Kim		2161				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- te to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF THE 137 CFR 1.136(a). In no evinication. Utory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be ti ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> .							
2a)□	•							
3)	, <u> </u>							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.								
-	4) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·								
7)								
•	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	quirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
,	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	- ,						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
a)								
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
					RIMELL			
				PRIMARY	EXAMINER			
Attachmen			[]					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 			4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
			5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/663,341 Page 2

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the following Communication: Original Application filed on September 15, 2003.

2. Claims 1-42 are pending and present for examination. Claims 1, 19, and 29 are independent.

Election/Restrictions

- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - Claims 1-28 relate to a method and computer readable medium for intermittently accessing and retrieving data contained in a business data database.
 - Claims 29-42 relate to a free text search system for use in a business data database comprising a crawler and a speed control module for controlling the rate of access to the records.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 2161

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should 5. be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2737. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Kim Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161 Technology Center 2100

DRIMARY EXAMINER